

Reheating in Chaotic D-Term Inflation

TERUHIKO KAWANO^{*} AND MASAHIDE YAMAGUCHI^{*,†}

^{*}*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

^{*}*Department of Physics and Mathematics, Aoyama Gakuin University
Sagamihara 229-8558, Japan*

[†]*Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305*

Abstract

A simple model is discussed to give rise to successful reheating in chaotic D-term inflation with a quadratic inflaton potential, introducing a trilinear coupling in the Kähler potential. Leptogenesis through the inflaton decay is also discussed in this model.

1 Introduction

Inflation gives a natural solution to the horizon and the flatness problems as well as the mechanism to generate primordial density fluctuations [1]. The recent observations like the cosmic microwave background anisotropy by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) [2] strongly supports inflationary scenarios. Among many types of inflation models proposed thus far, chaotic inflation, which was proposed by Linde [3], is attractive in that it does not suffer from any initial condition problem. Since supersymmetry is a plausible solution to the hierarchy problem in particle physics, it is natural to consider inflation models in supergravity. However, it is very difficult to realize chaotic inflation in supergravity. This is mainly because the F-term potential in supergravity has the exponential of the Kähler potential as a factor, and in general prevents scalar fields from having an initial value much larger than the reduced Planck scale $M_p \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV.

One method to circumvent this difficulty is to introduce the shift symmetry [4, 5, 6, 7, 8], which guarantees the flat directions especially in the Kähler potential and allows a field along the flat directions to be identified with an inflaton field.¹ Another method is to use the D-term potential, which does not have the exponential factor as the F-term potential does [13]. A field along the F-flat direction, which is automatically lifted by the D-term potential, can play the role of inflaton to give rise to chaotic inflation.

¹See Refs. [9, 10, 11] for early attempts to realize chaotic inflation in supergravity. See also Ref. [12], in which the authors attempt to realize chaotic inflation in superstring by use of the monodromy.

The idea was used in the papers [13, 14] to give chaotic inflation models with a quartic potential. Chaotic inflation models with a quartic potential in general seems disfavored by the recent observations [2] and may require additional contributions like curvaton [15, 16, 17] to explain the observations.

Recently, a simple chaotic inflation model with a quadratic potential was proposed in supergravity [18], which is based on $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $U(1)$ gauge theory where the Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter replaced by a dynamical field plays the role of inflaton. Since the potential of the model has only the D-term potential and depends on the Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter quadratically, the model gives rise to chaotic inflation with a quadratic potential of the inflaton field. Thus, in the paper [18], the eta-problem was naturally solved. It is well-known that many models in supergravity for inflation suffer from not only the eta-problem but also the overproduction of gravitino. However, to avoid the overproduction of gravitino, one needs to make sure two necessary conditions; the first is to obtain sufficiently low reheating temperature, and the second is to prevent the inflaton field from developing the vacuum expectation value (VEV) after inflation. It is also known that it is extremely difficult to meet these two conditions. Therefore, in this paper, we concentrate on the reheating stage in the model. By introducing interactions of the inflaton with right-handed neutrinos, it will be discussed that one can achieve successful reheating with low reheating temperature and leptogenesis.

In the next section, after briefly reviewing the model of Ref. [18], we introduce an interaction necessary for reheating and investigate its effect on the dynamics of the inflation. In Sec. 3, we evaluate the reheating temperature and show that leptogenesis really works in our scenario. In the final section, we give summary and discussions.

2 Model and Chaotic Inflation

The model proposed in the paper [18] is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $U(1)$ gauge theory with a chiral superfield S , whose scalar component is denoted by

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho + i\zeta).$$

The real scalar field ρ plays the role of the Stuckelburg field giving mass to the $U(1)$ gauge multiplet, while the real scalar field ζ is the inflaton field appearing in the D-term potential as if it was the Fayet-Iliopoulos parameter.

In order to achieve reheating in the model, we also introduce neutral chiral superfields N_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), which play the role of right-handed (s)neutrinos, and the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) superfields ϕ^k . Under the gauge transformation, they transform as

$$V \rightarrow V + i(\Lambda - \bar{\Lambda}), \quad S \rightarrow S + \sqrt{2}M\Lambda, \quad N_i \rightarrow N_i, \quad \phi^k \rightarrow \phi^k,$$

where V is the vector superfield of the gauge field v_μ , and M will turn out to be the

inflaton mass.² Since we take the Kähler potential

$$K = -\frac{1}{2} \left(S - \bar{S} + \sqrt{2} i M V \right)^2 - i \frac{g}{M_p} \left(S - \bar{S} + \sqrt{2} i M V \right) \bar{N}_i N_i + \bar{N}_i N_i + \hat{K}(\phi_k^*, \phi^k), \quad (1)$$

with g a coupling constant, it follows that the Killing potential D is given by

$$D = \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} \left[-i (S - \bar{S}) + \frac{g}{M_p} \bar{N}_i N_i \right].$$

Note that such a trilinear coupling between the inflaton and the right-handed neutrinos in the Kähler potential is peculiar to our model because the R-symmetry prohibits such a term for many existing models. Furthermore, we take the superpotential to be

$$W = \frac{m_i}{2} N_i N_i + \hat{W}(N, \phi^k),$$

where $\hat{W}(N, \phi^k)$ includes the Yukawa interactions $y_{ij} N_i L_j H_u$ of the right handed neutrinos N_i with the left-handed lepton superfields L_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and the Higgs superfield H_u .

Since it is convenient to describe the model in terms of gauge invariant variables, let us change the variable as

$$v_\mu \rightarrow v_\mu + \frac{1}{M} \partial_\mu \rho$$

to completely eliminate the field ρ from the action as the gauge degrees of freedom. One then finds that the potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \exp \left[\frac{1}{M_p^2} \left(\zeta^2 + |N_i|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p} \zeta |N_i|^2 + \hat{K}(\phi_k^*, \phi^k) \right) \right] \times \\ & \left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p} \zeta} |D_{N_i} W|^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p} \zeta}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p} \zeta - \frac{g^2}{M_p^2} |N_i|^2} \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}\zeta}{M_p^2} W - \frac{g}{M_p} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p} \zeta} (m_i N_i^2 + \hat{W}) \right|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + g^{k\bar{l}} D_k \hat{W} (D_{\bar{l}} \hat{W})^* - \frac{3}{M_p^2} |W|^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{M^2}{2} \left(\zeta + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2} M_p} |N_i|^2 \right)^2 + V_D^{\text{MSSM}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $D_k W$ denotes

$$D_k W = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^k} W + \frac{1}{M_p^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^k} K \right) W. \quad (3)$$

Note that the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (2) is the F-term potential, and the remaining terms the D-term potential. V_D^{MSSM} represents the contribution coming from the standard model gauge group to the D-term potential.

²The notation in this paper is slightly different from the one in [18], and in this paper we follow the convention of chapter XXIV and Appendix G in the textbook [19], with trivial exceptions for the Kähler potential and the superpotential.

When the universe starts around the Planck energy density and the inflaton ζ takes a value much larger than M_p , the exponential factor in the F-term potential enforces the conditions $D_{N_i}W = D_kW = W = 0$ to set the contribution from the F-term in the potential to zero. Since the directions with $N_i = 0$ satisfy the condition, the system could remain in one of the directions during inflation. In fact, the effective masses squared of the neutrinos at the origin are estimated as

$$m_i^2(\zeta) \simeq \frac{m_i^2}{1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{M_p}\zeta} e^{\frac{\zeta^2}{M_p^2}} + \frac{g\zeta}{\sqrt{2}M_p} M^2.$$

On the other hand, the Hubble parameter squared in the same period is given by

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{M}{M_p} \right)^2 \zeta^2.$$

Therefore, for large ζ , the effective masses of the neutrinos are much larger than the Hubble parameter so that N_i remains at the origin during inflation³. Here and hereafter, for simplicity, we will take positive g without loss of generality and assume positive ζ during inflation. Thus, the potential of the inflaton is dominated by the D-term with the quadratic potential, which leads to chaotic inflation. One thus needs to take the inflaton mass M to be of order 10^{13} GeV in order to explain the primordial density fluctuations.

3 Reheating and Leptogenesis

So thus, we have seen that the model of the paper [18] with the neutrino fields N_i still gives rise to successful chaotic inflation. In this section, we would like to discuss reheating and the baryon asymmetry of the universe through the leptogenesis scenario [20] via the inflaton decay [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] in our model.

After inflation, the inflaton field starts oscillating about the origin.⁴ Thanks to the interaction term between the inflaton ζ and the neutrino multiplets N_i in the Kähler potential, the inflaton can decay into the (s)neutrinos through the interactions

$$\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}M_p} \zeta \left[-M^2 |N_i|^2 + (2m_i^2) |N_i|^2 + \bar{N}_i \partial_\mu \partial^\mu N_i + N_i \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \bar{N}_i - i \bar{\chi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_i - i \chi_i \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\chi}_i \right], \quad (4)$$

where the field χ_i is the spinor component of the chiral superfield N_i . Here you should notice that the trilinear coupling of the first term originates from the D-term potential, which is peculiar to our D-term model of chaotic inflation. In the last four terms on

³ Since the kinetic terms of the neutrinos N_i are not canonical, we must have taken account of it to estimate their effective masses. However, the exponential factor is so large that such an effect is negligible.

⁴ The kinetic term of the neutrinos become singular at $\zeta = -M_p/(\sqrt{2}g)$. However, since we assume that the inflaton takes a positive value during inflation, it cannot reach this value for $g \ll 1$ during the oscillation phase as well.

the right hand side of Eq. (4), the derivatives can be replaced by the neutrino mass m_i by using the on-shell condition in lowest order perturbation theory.

Assuming that m_1 is of order 10^{12} GeV, while m_2 and m_3 are assumed to be larger than the inflaton mass M , we only have to consider the decay of the inflaton into N_1 . Therefore, the first term coming from the D-term potential gives the dominant contribution to the decay rate of the inflaton. Then, one obtains the decay rate of the inflaton ζ

$$\Gamma_\zeta \simeq \frac{1}{16\pi M} \left(\frac{gM^2}{\sqrt{2}M_p} \right)^2.$$

Therefore, the reheating temperature is given by

$$T_R \simeq 3.0 \times 10^7 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{g}{0.1} \right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{13} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Inflation models with such a low reheating temperature are viable for the wide range of the gravitino mass [26].

The produced (s)neutrinos N_i decay into (s)leptons L_j and Higgs(ino) doublets H_u through the Yukawa interactions

$$W = h_\nu^{ij} N_i L_j H_u \quad (5)$$

in the superpotential, where we have taken a basis where the mass matrix for N_i is diagonal. We also assume $|(h_\nu)_{i3}| > |(h_\nu)_{i2}| \gg |(h_\nu)_{i1}|$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). We consider only the decay of N_1 with the above assumption that the mass m_1 is much smaller than the others. The interference between the tree-level and the one-loop diagrams including vertex and self-energy corrections generates the lepton asymmetry [20, 27, 28, 29],

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow H_u + l) - \Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow \overline{H_u} + \bar{l})}{\Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow H_u + l) + \Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow \overline{H_u} + \bar{l})} \\ &\simeq -\frac{3}{8\pi \left(h_\nu h_\nu^\dagger \right)_{11}} \sum_{i=2,3} \text{Im} (h_\nu h_\nu^\dagger)_{1i}^2 \frac{m_1}{m_i} \simeq \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{m_1}{\langle H_u \rangle^2} m_{\nu_3} \delta_{\text{eff}} \\ &\sim 10^{-4} \left(\frac{m_1}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{m_{\nu_3}}{10^{-2} \text{ eV}} \right) \delta_{\text{eff}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the effective CP violation phase given by

$$\delta_{\text{eff}} \equiv -\frac{\text{Im} [h_\nu (m_\nu^*) h_\nu^T]_{11}^2}{m_{\nu_3} \left(h_\nu h_\nu^\dagger \right)_{11}}, \quad (7)$$

where m_{ν_3} is a mass eigenvalue of the left-handed neutrino mass matrix m_ν and can be estimated by the seesaw mechanism [30, 31] as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\nu_3} &\simeq \frac{|(h_\nu)_{33}|^2 \langle H_u \rangle^2}{m_3} \\ &\sim 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \left(\frac{|(h_\nu)_{33}|}{10^{-1}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{m_3}{10^{13} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here we assumed that the $(h_\nu)_{33}$ is dominant in h_ν and $m_3 \gg m_1$.

The total decay rate Γ_{N_1} of N_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{N_1} &= \Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow H_u + l) + \Gamma(N_1 \rightarrow \overline{H}_u + \bar{l}) \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{8\pi} |(h_\nu)_{13}|^2 m_1 \\ &\sim 10^6 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{|(h_\nu)_{13}|}{10^{-2}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{m_1}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Thus, the decay rate Γ_{N_1} is much larger than the decay rate of the inflaton Γ_ζ so that the produced N_1 immediately decays into lepton and Higgs supermultiplets once they are produced from the inflaton.

A part of the produced lepton asymmetry is converted into the baryon asymmetry through the sphaleron processes, which can be estimated [32, 33] as

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \simeq -\frac{8}{23} \frac{n_L}{s},\tag{10}$$

where we have assumed the standard model with two Higgs doublets and three generations. In order to explain the observed baryon number density

$$\frac{n_B}{s} \simeq (0.4 - 1) \times 10^{-10},\tag{11}$$

it is necessary to achieve the lepton asymmetry

$$\frac{n_L}{s} \simeq -(1 - 3) \times 10^{-10}.\tag{12}$$

Now we estimate the lepton asymmetry produced through the inflaton decay. For $m_1 \gtrsim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$, m_1 is much larger than the reheating temperature T_R . In this case, the produced N_1 is out of equilibrium and the ratio of the lepton number to entropy density can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n_L}{s} &\simeq \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_1 B_r \frac{T_R}{M} \\ &\sim -5 \times 10^{-10} \delta_{\text{eff}} B_r \left(\frac{g}{10^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{13} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{m_1}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where B_r is the branching ratio of the inflaton ζ into N_1 . As stated before, we typically take m_1 to be of order 10^{12} GeV , and m_2 and m_3 to be at least larger than 10^{13} GeV , which implies $B_r = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then, the typical values of the parameters can explain the baryon number density in the present universe. Thus, sufficient baryon asymmetry can be generated for low reheating temperature without fine-tuning of the parameters in our model.

4 Summary and Discussions

In this paper, we have discussed how to reheat the universe in the model of the paper [18]. For this purpose, we introduced the interactions of the inflaton with right-handed

neutrinos in the Kähler potential, which yields the effective trilinear couplings between them originating from the D-term potential. This property is peculiar to the model of D-term chaotic inflation. We have seen that this model leads to successful chaotic inflation even after introducing such an interaction. The inflaton can decay into right-handed (s)neutrinos via the effective trilinear interactions.⁵ The produced right-handed (s)neutrinos quickly decay into the MSSM particles through the Yukawa interactions so that the universe is completely reheated. We have found the typical reheating temperature to be 10^7 GeV for $g \simeq 0.1$, which is low enough to avoid the overproduction of gravitino for a wide range of the gravitino mass. The decay of right-handed (s)neutrinos produces the lepton asymmetry if the CP violation exists. We have shown that the amount of the produced lepton asymmetry is sufficient to explain the present baryon asymmetry for typical parameter values.

⁵The inflaton could also couple to other particles if similar trilinear couplings were introduced in the Kähler potential. However, the branching ratio to the right handed neutrinos would be dominant in the inflaton decay, as long as the coupling constant to them is not significantly suppressed in comparison to those to the other particles. Therefore, they would hardly affect our results on the reheating temperature.

Acknowledgement

T.K. would like to thank Koichi Hamaguchi, Taichiro Kugo, Yuuki Shinbara, and Taizan Watari for very helpful discussions. He is also grateful to Jonathan Bagger for kind correspondence. M.Y. would like to thank Fuminobu Takahashi and Jun'ichi Yokoyama for useful discussions. The work of T.K. was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid No. 19540268 from the MEXT of Japan. M.Y. is supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 18740157 and No. 19340054.

References

- [1] See, for example, A. D. Linde, “*Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*, ” (Harwood Academic, 1990) [arXiv:hep-th/0503203].
A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, “*Cosmological Inflation and Large Scale Structure*, ” (Cambridge University Press, 2000),
D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, “Particle Physics Models of Inflation and the Cosmological Density Perturbation,” Phys. Rept. **314**, 1 (1999) [hep-ph/9807278].
- [2] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], “Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations:Cosmological Interpretation,” [arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph]].
- [3] A. D. Linde, “Chaotic Inflation,” Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983).
- [4] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, “Natural Chaotic Inflation in Supergravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3572 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004243].
- [5] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, “Natural Chaotic Inflation in Supergravity and Leptogenesis,” Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103514 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0011104].
- [6] M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, “New Inflation in Supergravity with a Chaotic Initial Condition,” Phys. Rev. D **63**, 043506 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0007021].
- [7] M. Yamaguchi, “Natural Double Inflation in Supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **64**, 063502 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0103045].
- [8] M. Yamaguchi, “Density Fluctuations and Primordial Black Holes Formation in Natural Double Inflation in Supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **64**, 063503 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0105001].
- [9] A. B. Goncharov and A. D. Linde, “Chaotic Inflation in Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **139**, 27 (1984).
- [10] A. S. Goncharov and A. D. Linde, “A Simple Realization of the Inflationary Universe Scenario in SU(1,1) Supergravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **1**, L75 (1984).

- [11] H. Murayama, H. Suzuki, T. Yanagida and J. Yokoyama, “Chaotic Inflation and Baryogenesis in Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, R2356 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9311326].
- [12] E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, “Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 106003 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3085 [hep-th]].
- [13] K. Kadota and M. Yamaguchi, “D-term Chaotic Inflation in Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 103522 (2007), [arXiv:0706.2676 [hep-ph]].
- [14] K. Kadota, T. Kawano and M. Yamaguchi, “New D-term chaotic inflation in supergravity and leptogenesis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 123516 (2008) [arXiv:0802.0525 [hep-ph]].
- [15] T. Moroi, T. Takahashi and Y. Toyoda, “Relaxing Constraints on Inflation Models with Curvaton,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 023502 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501007].
- [16] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, “Implications of the Curvaton on Inflationary Cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 023505 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0505339].
- [17] K. Ichikawa, T. Suyama, T. Takahashi and M. Yamaguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 023513 (2008) [arXiv:0802.4138 [astro-ph]]; K. Ichikawa, T. Suyama, T. Takahashi and M. Yamaguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 063545 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3988 [astro-ph]].
- [18] T. Kawano, “Chaotic D-Term Inflation,” arXiv:0712.2351 [hep-th].
- [19] J. Wess and J. Bagger, “*Supersymmetry and Supergravity*,” (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1992), Second Edition.
- [20] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, “Baryogenesis without Grand Unification,” *Phys. Lett. B* **174**, 45 (1986).
- [21] K. KumeKawa, T. Moroi and T. Yanagida, “Flat Potential for Inflaton with a Discrete R Invariance in Supergravity,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **92**, 437 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9405337].
- [22] G. Lazarides, “Leptogenesis in Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation,” *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **163**, 227 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9904428].
- [23] G. F. Giudice, M. Peloso, A. Riotto and I. Tkachev, “Production of Massive Fermions at Preheating and Leptogenesis,” *JHEP* **9908**, 014 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905242].
- [24] T. Asaka, K. Hamaguchi, M. Kawasaki and T. Yanagida, “Leptogenesis in Inflaton Decay,” *Phys. Lett. B* **464**, 12 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9906366].
- [25] T. Asaka, K. Hamaguchi, M. Kawasaki and T. Yanagida, “Leptogenesis in Inflationary Universe,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 083512 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9907559].

- [26] M. Kawasaki, K. Kohri, T. Moroi and A. Yotsuyanagi, “Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis and Gravitino,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065011 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3745 [hep-ph]].
- [27] L. Covi, E. Roulet and F. Vissani, “CP Violating Decays in Leptogenesis Scenarios,” *Phys. Lett. B* **384**, 169 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9605319].
- [28] M. Flanz, E. A. Paschos and U. Sarkar, “Baryogenesis from a Lepton Asymmetric Universe,” *Phys. Lett. B* **345**, 248 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. B **382**, 447 (1996)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9411366].
- [29] W. Buchmuller and M. Plumacher, “CP Asymmetry in Majorana Neutrino Decays,” *Phys. Lett. B* **431**, 354 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9710460].
- [30] T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number of the Universe*, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979, edited by O. Sawada and S. Sugamoto, (KEK, Tsukuba, 1979).
- [31] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, in “*Supergravity*,” edited by D.Z. Freedman and P. van Nieuwenhuizen (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979).
- [32] S. Y. Khlebnikov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, “The Statistical Theory of Anomalous Fermion Number Nonconservation,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **308**, 885 (1988).
- [33] J. A. Harvey and M. S. Turner, “Cosmological Baryon and Lepton Number in the Presence of Electroweak Fermion Number Violation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 3344 (1990).